# Practice Parameter: Laryngeal Electromyography (An Evidence-based Review)

CME Available 7/05 - 7/08

At the time of publication, the authors had nothing to disclose.

**Learning Objectives:** After completing this educational activity, participants will understand the benefits of laryngeal EMG used to guide injections into the thyroarytenoid muscle in persons with adductor spasmodic dysphonia.

The American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine (AAEM) is now the American Association of Neuromuscular & Electrodiagnostic Medicine. The following document was printed in *Muscle & Nerve* before the name change. The association's name was therefore not updated.

# Practice Parameter: Laryngeal Electromyography (An Evidence-Based Review)

Robert T. Sataloff, MD, Steven Mandel, MD, Eric A. Mann, MD, PhD, Christy L. Ludlow, PhD Authors had nothing to disclose. Approved by the AAEM Board of Directors July 2003

### Key Words: botulinum toxin • electromyography • laryngeal

Abstract: This paper reports on an evidence-based review of laryngeal electromyography (EMG) as a technique for use in the diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment of laryngeal movement disorders including the laryngeal dystonias, vocal fold paralysis, and other neurolaryngological disorders. The authors performed a systematic review of the medical literature from 1944 through 2001 on the clinical application of EMG to laryngeal disorders. Thirty-three of the 584 articles met the predefined inclusion criteria. The evidence demonstrated that in a double-blind treatment trial of botulinum toxin versus saline, laryngeal EMG used to guide injections into the thyroarytenoid muscle in persons with adductor spasmodic dysphonia was beneficial. A cross-over comparison between laryngeal EMG-guided injection and endoscopic injection of botulinum toxin into the posterior cricoarytenoid muscle in abductor spasmodic dysphonia found no significant difference between the two techniques and no significant treatment benefit. Based on the evidence, laryngeal EMG is possibly useful for the injection of botulinum toxin into the treatment of adductor spasmodic dysphonia. There were no evidence-based data sufficient to support or refute the value of laryngeal EMG for the other uses investigated, although there is extensive anecdotal literature suggesting that it is useful for each of them. There is an urgent need for evidence-based research addressing other applications in the use of laryngeal EMG for other applications.

### INTRODUCTION

### **Mission Statement**

Although laryngeal electromyography (EMG) is utilized today by practitioners, a comprehensive review of its value in the diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment of laryngeal movement disorders has not been undertaken previously. For this reason, the American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine (AAEM) established the Laryngeal EMG Task Force to develop a practice parameter to guide clinical utilization of laryngeal EMG.

# **Background and Justification**

# Development of Laryngeal Electromyography

Laryngeal EMG was introduced in 1944 by Weddel and colleagues,<sup>64</sup> and advanced substantially in the 1950s by Faaborg-Andersen, Buchthal, and others.<sup>6,14,15</sup> Additional research by various investigators in the 1960s and 1970s began to clarify the potential importance of EMG in laryngology.<sup>1,4,8,9,13,16,18,21,22,24,26-28,34-38,40-</sup>

Many studies were investigations of the role of the laryngeal muscles in speech and voice production <sup>18,34</sup> or were aimed at increased understanding of laryngeal biomechanics.<sup>26-28,34,35,36,38</sup> Most of these studies used bipolar hooked wire electrodes and did

not address a clinical role for the technique. In the later 1980s and the 1990s, laryngeal EMG was added to the laryngologic assessment and treatment of voice disorders.<sup>30-32,39,43,47,57</sup> The laryngeal EMG procedure is usually performed by a neurologist, physiatrist, or laryngologist skilled in electrodiagnostic medicine. Laryngeal EMG techniques utilize primarily needle recordings of voluntary activity.

## Current Uses of Laryngeal Electromyography and Clinical Question Statements

Laryngeal EMG is currently being utilized with greater frequency for the diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment of voice disorders. Unfortunately, relatively few professionals trained in EMG have practical experience in laryngeal EMG or a comprehensive understanding of the anatomy and physiology of laryngeal disorders. The AAEM's Laryngeal EMG Task Force identified seven clinical questions that encompass the current common applications of laryngeal EMG as follows:

1. Does laryngeal EMG provide accurate diagnostic information for differentiating vocal fold paresis and paralysis from mechanical fixation of the cricoarytenoid joint?

- 2. Does laryngeal EMG provide accurate prognostic information regarding the likelihood of recovery from vocal fold paresis and paralysis?
- 3. Is laryngeal EMG accurate in the diagnosis of diseases affecting the neuromuscular junction?
- 4. Can laryngeal EMG differentiate malingering or psychogenic dysphonia from normalcy and neurological dysfunction affecting the laryngeal muscles?
- 5. Can laryngeal EMG aid in the identification of muscle activation abnormalities in laryngeal dystonias?
- 6. Does laryngeal EMG provide accurate diagnostic information of systemic neuropathic and myopathic disorders involving the larynx?
- 7. Is laryngeal EMG a beneficial technique for guiding the treatment of laryngeal dystonias?

# PROCESS

# **Panel Selection**

A panel of experts was selected to serve on the task force and to undertake an evidence-based review of the literature to answer each of the seven clinical questions defined above.

# **Literature Review Process**

# Search Terms

The task force conducted a review of the scientific literature supporting the utilization of laryngeal EMG for the diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment of voice disorders. The National Library of Medicine's MEDLINE database was searched from 1966 through January 2001. As recommended in Appendix 4 of the American Academy of Neurology – Therapeutics and Technology Assessment (AAN-TTA) Process Document<sup>19</sup>, a MEDLINE search was conducted using the terms "laryngeal" and "electromyography" in combinations as follows: "all [larynx or laryngeal] and all [electromyography or EMG]." This initial search was augmented with the search term

"botulinum toxin".

# Databases

The articles retrieved by the search were sorted according to the proposed uses and questions. Further subsearches for each topic then were used to identify individual articles of interest for classification (Class I, II, III, and IV) using the terms "diagnosis" or sensitivity or specificity or "prognosis".

# Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria and Process of Selection of Articles

The 1999 practice parameter criteria developed by the AAN were followed to classify all articles identified in this evidence-based review.<sup>19</sup> The Criteria of Classification of Article Strength are provided in Table 1. In most cases, the reference standard for diagnosis of laryngeal disorders is laryngeal videostroboscopy with fiberoptic nasolaryngoscopy.

# Table 1. Criteria for Classification of ArticleStrength (abbreviated from Goodin andcolleauges 19)

Class I: Prospective, blinded study with randomized, controlled treatment for clinical trial or with broad cohort size, a reference standard, and the determination of sensitivity and specificity for a diagnostic or prognostic study.

Class II: For a clinical trial, prospective, blinded study with either randomized, controlled treatment and no accounting of dropouts or with matched control group and accounting for dropouts.

For a diagnostic or prognostic study, a prospective

study with a narrow cohort size or a retrospective study with a broad cohort size with a reference standard, and the determination of sensitivity and

specificity.

Class III: Prospective, unblinded study with neither randomization nor matched control group for clinical trial.

Retrospective, blinded study without determination

of sensitivity or specificity for diagnostic or prognostic study.

Class IV: Retrospective, unblinded study.

# Number of Abstract and Articles Found/Excluded

Initially 584 studies were retrieved using the search terms. However, very few were formal studies aimed at establishing the potential use of laryngeal EMG as a diagnostic, therapeutic, or prognostic To date, 33 articles qualified for procedure. inclusion in the Evidence Tables using the criteria in Table 1 for diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic studies. None of the articles were Class I or Class II with regard to larvngeal EMG. Regarding the use of laryngeal EMG for the treatment of laryngeal dystonias, two were Class III. All other articles were Class IV which were selected for inclusion because they (1) provided sufficient information to allow the reader to understand the methodology clearly enough to repeat the study, (2) utilized standard clinical and electromyographic techniques (3) provided information on methods for clinical diagnosis, and (4) Either reported a substantial series of patients,<sup>4,5,8,12,14,29,33,40,45,46,55,61,67</sup> 20 or more or а smaller number of patients illustrating the use of laryngeal EMG for evaluation of specific clinical problems The reference standard is (all other studies). clinical diagnosis, including laryngoscopy. The task force member utilized the definitions for elements of evidence and classifications of evidence as defined in the AAA-TTA Process Document.<sup>19</sup>

# **Development of Evidence Tables**

The evidence results were classified based on the experimental design for each study and compiled in Evidence Tables for each of the seven proposed clinical applications for laryngeal EMG. These tables were then used as the basis for establishing recommendations for the various applications. The Evidence Tables are available at <u>www.aaem.net</u> or from the AAEM Executive Office.

### Internal and External Review of the Document

Based on the criteria for establishing recommendations used by the AAN-TTA, the following were used in developing a Rating of Recommendation:

*Recommendation A:* Class I studies are required for establishing a technology as <u>useful</u> or predictive for a given condition in the specified population and require at least one convincing class I study or at least two consistent convincing class II studies. *Recommendation B:* Class II studies are required for classifying a technology as <u>probably useful</u> or predictive for a given condition in the specified population and require at least one convincing class II study or at least three consistent class III studies.

*Recommendation C*: Class III studies are required for determining that a technology is <u>possibly useful</u> or predictive for a given condition in the specified population and require at least two convincing and consistent class III studies.

*Recommendation U*: The unknown recommendation is to be used when data are inadequate and the technology is <u>unproven</u>.

## ANALYSIS OF THE EVIDENCE

Two studies provide Class III evidence that laryngeal EMG may be useful in the treatment of abductor or adductor spasmodic dysphonia.2,49 Bielamowicz and colleagues conducted randomized cross-over comparison of the use of laryngeal EMG with the use of endoscopic-guided injection for the treatment of abductor spasmodic dysphonia by botulinum toxin injections into the posterior cricoarytenoid muscle.<sup>2</sup> Blinded measures demonstrated no significant reduction in breathy breaks with either technique and no differences between the techniques in abductor spasmodic dysphonia. A study by Ludlow and colleagues used laryngeal EMG to administer botulinum toxin injections into the thyroarytenoid muscle in adductor spasmodic dysphonia with a blinded comparison of baseline and post-treatment speech measures.<sup>49</sup> This study demonstrated a significant improvement in speech following treatment. Truong and colleagues performed a double-blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled study of botulinum toxin injection treatment of patients with adductor spasmodic dysphonia. Although this study provides Class II evidence for improvement with botulinum toxin and not saline treatment, laryngeal EMG was used to guide injection with both treatments, so this article does provides Class IV evidence on the usefulness of laryngeal EMG over other methods for injection.<sup>63</sup> The usefulness of laryngeal EMG in the treatment of spasmodic dysphonia is also supported by two other studies with class IV evidence.<sup>4,5</sup> Thus, this evidence-based medicine review supports the possible usefulness of laryngeal EMG in the treatment of spasmodic dysphonia.

It has been asserted that laryngeal EMG may be preferable to direct visualization for guidance of botulinum toxin injections for three main reasons. First, using direct visualization requires either sedation/anesthetic in the operating room (direct larvngoscopy) or transoral injection. Many patients find the transoral procedure uncomfortable, and it can only be performed in patients with easily controlled gag reflexes. The use of a flexible injection needle through a bronchoscope is not standard. With this method, precision is difficult to control: and an unacceptable amount of botulinum toxin is wasted because of the length of the flexible, trans-bronchoscopic syringe. Second, when utilizing direct visualization, only the location of the thyroarytenoid can be well visualized. The locations of the lateral cricoarytenoid and posterior cricoarytenoid are more difficult to establish visually. The cricothyroid muscle cannot be seen, although its points of attachment and insertion can be palpated through the neck. Third, laryngeal EMG permits functional confirmation that the needle is in the correct muscle (each muscle has a different electrophysiologic response). It also allows the physician to select the most active part of the muscle, as well as permitting an assessment of the effect of any residual botulinum toxin in patients who are undergoing re-injection. Hence, laryngeal EMG has been considered helpful in facilitating treatment with botulinum toxin.

Class IV evidence also suggests the usefulness of laryngeal EMG in diagnosis and prognosis. A large number of articles suggest that laryngeal EMG is useful in distinguishing vocal fold paresis from mechanical fixation or abnormality.<sup>3,8,10,14,23,25,33,40,45,46,48,52,56,58,66,67</sup> no Seven of these articles suggest that the sensitivity of laryngeal EMG may range from 33% to 100% in detecting vocal fold paresis and that its specificity ranges from 12% to 50%.<sup>3,8,23,33,40,46,48</sup> Regarding the prognosis of vocal fold paresis, the sensitivity for laryngeal EMG is predicting recovery ranges from 13% to 100%, whereas the specificity for predicting poor recovery has varied from 20% to 100%.<sup>12,17,20,33,53,55,59,6166</sup> Laryngeal EMG may be useful in aiding the identification of muscle activation abnormalities in laryngeal dystonias.<sup>7,29,54,66</sup> Two case reports have suggested that laryngeal EMG was helpful in the diagnosis of a systemic neuropathy.<sup>11,51</sup>

### CONCLUSIONS BASED ON REVIEW OF EVIDENCE-BASED DATA

Based on the previously discussed studies, use of laryngeal EMG in the administration of botulinum toxin in the treatment of adductor spasmodic dysphonia is supported by current research at a *Recommendation* C level - possibly useful or predictive for a given condition in the specified population.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

### **Practice Recommendations**

In the treatment of spasmodic dysphonia, laryngeal EMG localization for the injection of botulinum toxin Type A into the thyroarytenoid muscle for the treatment of adductor spasmodic dysphonia is possibly equal in effectiveness to endoscopic guided injection (Recommendation C).

#### Recommendations for Future Research on Potential Clinical Utility of Laryngeal Electromyography for Patients with Suspected Laryngeal Movement Disorders

Although evidence-based research is lacking regarding the utility of laryngeal EMG for patients with suspected movement disorders, the large number of studies suggesting its utility<sup>1,6,8,9,13-16,18,21,22,24,26-28,30-32,34-44,47,50,57,60,62,64,65</sup> and its current clinical use indicate the urgent need for a well-controlled study of the accuracy and clinical value of this procedure. The current lack of evidence is because of the absence of high-quality evidence-based research investigating these applications. There is no evidence suggesting that laryngeal EMG is not useful. Research is therefore needed to confirm or refute the clinical value of laryngeal EMG for the other clinical questions investigated.

The studies currently available on this issue include biases and lack standardization in methodology. Few evidence-based studies have investigated the many common clinical uses of laryngeal EMG. Masking (blinding) is a critical feature of the study design that must be included in future studies. To determine the diagnostic or prognostic utility without bias, clinical investigators need to be blinded to patient diagnosis or treatment outcome when interpreting laryngeal EMG findings. For example, representative segments of laryngeal EMG recordings from patients and control subjects could be randomized and interpreted by independent electrodiagnostic medicine consultants at different sites. Random insertion of repeats of some of the individual recordings would permit assessment of intra-rater as well as inter-rater reliability for the proposed application. For therapeutic studies, double blinding (masking of both patient or subject and the investigator) should be employed if possible to minimize bias and to allow assessment of placebo effects.

Careful consideration should be given to selection of the best possible "reference standard" by which the presence or absence of a condition is determined for diagnostic or prognostic applications of laryngeal EMG. If the validity of the reference standard in a study is suspect, the validity of the results will be limited accordingly. For applications in which the current reference standard is based on subjective assessments (e.g., interpretation of laryngeal endoscopic examinations for the diagnosis of vocal fold paralysis) attempts should be made to define clearly the criteria used for the assessment and consideration should be given to the use of multiple raters to enhance the accuracy of the determination.

In conclusion, additional evidence-based studies are recommended to determine the value of laryngeal EMG for each of the clinical uses for which it is currently being employed; the optimal electrode type for specific clinical purposes; the validity and reliability of techniques used for quantification of laryngeal EMG signals; and the predictive and diagnostic accuracy of EMG findings and their relation to treatment outcomes.

### TOOLS

The review of the articles for the evidence-based tables was conducted by reading the articles and recording the results in excel files under each of the headings provided. No automatic algorithms were used in the procedures.

### DISCLAIMER

This report is provided as an educational service of the AAEM, The American Academy of Otolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery, and The Voice Foundation. It is based on an assessment of the current scientific and clinical information. It is

not intended to include all possible methods of care of particular clinical problem, or all legitimate criteria for choosing to use a specific procedure. Neither is it intended to exclude any reasonable alternative methodologies. This statement is not intended to address all possible uses of, or issues regarding, laryngeal EMG and in no way reflects upon the usefulness of laryngeal EMG in those areas not addressed. The AAEM recognizes that specific patient care decisions are the prerogative of the patient and his/her physician and are based on all of the circumstances involved. These guidelines are not a substitute for the experience and judgment of a physician. This review was not written with the intent that it be used as a basis for reimbursement decisions.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Arnold G. Physiology and pathology of the cricothyroid muscle. Laryngoscope 1961;71:687-753.
- 2. Bielamowicz S, Squire S, Bidus K, Ludlow CL. Assessment of posterior cricoarytenoid botulinum toxin injections in patients with abductor spasmodic dysphonia. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2001;110:406-412.
- 3. Blair RL, Berry H, Briant TD. Laryngeal electromyography techniques, applications, and a review of personal experience. J Otolaryngol 1977;6:496-504.
- 4. Blitzer A, Brin MF, Stewart C, Aviv JE, Fahn S. Abductor laryngeal dystonia: a series treated with botulinum toxin. Laryngoscope 1992;102:163-167.
- 5. Blitzer A, Brin MF, Stewart CF. Botulinum toxin management of spasmodic dysphonia (laryngea dystonia): a 12-year experience in more than 900 patients. Laryngoscope 1998;108:1435-1441.
- Buchthal F. Electromyography of intrinsic laryngeal muscles. J Exp Physiol 1959;44:137-148.
- Cyrus CB, Bielamowicz S, Evans FJ, Ludlow CL. Adductor muscle activity abnormalities in abductor spasmodic dysphonia. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2001;124:23-30.
- 8. Dedo HH. The paralyzed larynx: an electromyographic study in dogs and humans. Laryngoscope 1970;80:1455-1517.
- 9. Dedo HH, Hall WN. Electrodes in laryngeal

electromyography. Reliability comparison. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 1969;78:172-180.

- Dray TG, Robinson LR, Hillel AD. Idiopathic bilateral vocal fold weakness. Laryngoscope 1999; 109:995-1002.
- Dray TG, Robinson LR, Hillel AD. Laryngeal electromyographic findings in Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type II. Arch Neurol 1999;56:863-865.
- 12. Elez F, Celik M. The value of laryngeal electromyography in vocal cord paralysis. Muscle Nerve 1998;21:552-553.
- English ET, Blevins CE. Motor units of laryngeal muscles. Arch Otolaryngol 1969;89:778-784.
- 14. Faaborg-Andersen K. Electromyographic investigation of intrinsic laryngeal muscles in humans. Acta Physiol Scand 1957;41:1-149.
- 15. Faaborg-Andersen K, Buchtal F. Action potentials from internal laryngeal muscles during phonation. Nature 1956;177:340-341.
- Fex S. Judging the movements of vocal cords in larynx paralysis. Acta Otolaryngol Suppl 1969;263:82-83.
- 17. Gartlan MG, Hoffman HT. Crystalline preparation of botulinum toxin type A (Botox): degradationin potency with storage. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1993;108:135-140.
- Gay T, Hirose H, Strome M, Sawashima M. Electromyography of the intrinsic laryngeal muscles during phonation. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngeal 1972;81:401-409.
- Goodin DS, Edlund W. Process for developing technology assessments. Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee, American Academy of Neurology, St. Paul, MN. September 1999.
- 20. Gupta SR, Bastian RW. Use of laryngeal electromyography in prediction of recovery after vocal cord paralysis. Muscle Nerve 1993;16:977-978.
- Haglund S. Electromyography in the diagnosis of laryngeal motor disorders. Doctoral dissertation. Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, 1973.
- 22. Haglund S. The normal electromyogram in human cricothyroid muscle. Acta Otolaryngol 1973;76:6369.
- 23. Haglund S, Knutsson E, Martensson A. An electromyographic analysis of idiopathic vocal cord paresis. Acta Otolaryngol 1972;74:265-270.

- 24. Haglund S, Knutsson E, Martensson A. An electromyographic study of the vocal and cricothyroid muscles in functional dysphonia. Acta Otolaryngol 1974;77:140-149.
- 25. Haglund SE, Knutsson E, Martensson A. Neurogenic lesions in the cricothyroid muscle in idiopathic vocal cord paresis. Acta Otolaryngol 1973;76:63-69.
- 26. Hast MH. Mechanical properties of the cricothyroid muscle. Laryngoscope 1966;75:537-548.
- 27. Hast MH. Mechanical properties of the vocal fold muscles. Pract Otorhinolaryngol 1967;33:209-214.
- 28. Hast MH, Golbus S. Physiology of the lateral cricoarytenoid muscles. Pract Otorhinolaryngol 1971;33:209-214.
- 29. Hillel AD. The study of laryngeal muscle activity in normal human subjects and in patients with laryngeal dystonia using multiple fine-wire electromyography. Laryngoscope 2001;S111:1-47.
- Hirano M. Electromyography of laryngeal muscles. In: Hirano M, editor. Clinical examination of voice. Wien: Springer Verlag; 1981. p 11-24.
- Hirano M. Examination of vocal fold vibration. In: Hirano M, editor. Clinical examination of the voice. Wien: Springer Verlag; 1981. p 43-65.
- 32. Hirano M. The function of the intrinsic laryngeal muscles in singing. In: Stevens K, Hirano M, editors. Vocal fold physiology. Tokyo: University of Tokyo Press; 1981. p 155-167.
- 33. Hirano M, Nozoe I, Shin T, Maeyama T. Electromyography for laryngeal paralysis. In: Hirano M, Kirchner JA, Bless DM, editors. Neurolaryngology: recent advances. Boston: College-Hill Press; 1987. p 232-248.
- 34. Hirano M. Ohala J. Use of hooked-wire electrodes for electromyography of the intrinsic laryngeal muscles. J Speech Hear Res 1969;12:361-373.
- 35. Hirano M, Ohala J, Vennard W. The function of laryngeal muscles in regulating fundamental frequency and intensity of phonation. J Speech Hear Res 1969;12:616-628.
- 36. Hirano M, Vennard W, Ohala J. Regulation of register, pitch and intensity of voice. Folia Phoniatr 1970;22:1-20.
- 37. Hirose H. Clinical observations on 600 cases of

recurrent laryngeal nerve palsy. Annu Bull RILP (Research Institute of Logopedics and Phoniatrics), University of Tokyo 1977;11:165-173.

- Hirose H, Gay T, Strome M. Electrode insertion techniques for laryngeal electromyography. J Acoust Soc Am 1971;50:1449-1450.
- Hirose H, Kobayashi T, Okamura M, Kurauchi Y, Iwamura S. Recurrent laryngeal nerve palsy. J Otolaryngol 1967;70:1-17.
- 40. Hiroto I, Hirano M, Tomita H. Electromyographic investigation of human vocal fold paralysis. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 1968;77:296-304.
- 41. Hiroto I, Hirano M, Toyozumi Y, Shin T. A new method of placement of a needle electrode in the intrinsic laryngeal muscles for electromyography. Insertion through the skin. Pract Otorhinolaryngol (Kyoto) 1962;55:499-50
- 42. Jonsson B, Reichmann S. Displacement and deformation of wire electrodes in electromyography. A roentgenolgic study. Electromyography 1969;9:210-211.
- 43. Khan A, Pearlman RC, Bianchi DA, Hauck KW. Experience with two types of electromyography monitoring electrodes during thyroid surgery. Am J Otolaryngol 1997;18:99-102.
- 44. Knutsson E, Martensson A, Martensson B. The normal electromyogram in human vocal fold muscles. Acta Otolaryngol 1969;68:526-536.
- 45. Kokesh J, Flint PW, Robinson LR, Cummings CW. Correlation between stroboscopy and electromyography in laryngeal paralysis. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 1993;102:852-857.
- 46. Koufman JA, Postma GN, Whang CS, Rees CJ, Amin MR, Belafsky PC. Diagnostic laryngeal electromyography: the Wake Forest experience 1995-1999. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2001;124: 603-606.
- 47. Lindestad PA. Electromyographic and laryngoscopic studies of normal and disturbed voice function. Huddinge University Hospital. Stockholm; 1994.
- 48. Lindestad PA, Persson A. Quantitative analysis of EMG interference pattern in patients with laryngeal paresis. Acta Otolaryngol 1994;114:91-97.
- 49. Ludlow CL, Naunton RF, Sedory SE, Schulz GM, Hallett M. Effects of botulinum toxin injections on speech in adductor spasmodic dysphonia. Neurology 1988;38:1220-1225.
- 50. Martensson A, Skoglund CR. Contraction

properties of intrinsic laryngeal muscles. Acta Physiol Scand 1964;60:318-336.

- 51. Mazzantini M, Fattori B, Matteucci F, Gaeta P, Ursino F. Neurolaryngeal involvement in Churg-Strauss syndrome. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 1998;255:302-306.
- 52. Miller RH, Rosenfield DB. The role of electromyography in clinical laryngology. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1984;92:287-291.
- 53. Min YB, Finnegan EM, Hoffman HT, Luschei ES, McCulloch TM. A preliminary study of the prognostic role of electromyography in laryngeal paralysis. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1994;111:770-775.
- 54. Nash EA, Ludlow CL. Laryngeal muscle activity during speech breaks in adductor spasmodic dysphonia. Laryngoscope 1996;106:484-489.
- 55. Parnes SM, Satya-Murti S. Predictive value of laryngeal electromyography in patients with vocal cord paralysis of neurogenic origin. Laryngoscope, 1985;95:1323-1326.
- 56. Rontal E, Rontal M, Silverman B, Kileny PR. The clinical differentiation between vocal cord paralysis and vocal cord fixation using electromyography. 1993;103:133-137.
- 57. Sataloff RT, Mandel S, Manon-Espaillat R, Heman-Ackah YD, Abaza M. Laryngeal electromyography. Albany: Delmar Thomson Learning; 2003.
- 58. Satoh I. Evoked electromyographic test applied for recurrent laryngeal nerve paralysis. Laryngoscope 1978;88:2022-2031.
- Schweizer V, Woodson GE, Bertorini TE. Singlefiber electromyography of the laryngeal muscles. Muscle Nerve 1999;22:111-114.
- 60. Shipp T, Doherty E, Morrissey P. Predicting vocal frequency from selected physiologic measures. J Acoust Soc Am 1979;66:678-684.
- 61. Sittel C, Stennert E, Thumfart WF, Dapunt U, Eckel HE. Prognostic value of laryngeal electromyography in vocal fold paralysis. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2001;127:155-160.
- 62. Sussman HM, McNeilage PF, Powers RK. Recruitment and discharge patterns of single motor units during speech production. J Speech Hear Res 1977;20:613-630.
- 63. Truong DD, Rontal M. Rolnick M, Aronson AE, Mistura K. Double-blind controlled study of botulinum toxin in adductor spasmodic

dysphonia. Laryngoscope 1991;101:630-634.

- 64. Weddel G, Feinstein B, Pattle RE. The electrical activity of voluntary muscle in man under normal and pathological conditions. Brain 1944;67:178-257.
- 65. Yanagihara N, von Leden H. The cricothyroid muscle during phonation-electromyographic, aerodynamic and acoustic studies. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 1966;75:987-1006.
- 66. Yin SS, Qiu WW, Stucker FJ, Batchelor BM. Critical evaluation of neurolaryngological disorders. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2000;109:832-838.
- 67. Yin SS, Qiu WW, Stucker FJ. Major patterns of laryngeal electromyography and their clinical application. Laryngoscope 1997;107:126-136.